# DRAFT INTERACTION PROFILE FOR: PERSISTENT CHEMICALS FOUND IN BREAST MILK (CHLORINATED DIBENZO-p-DIOXINS, HEXACHLOROBENZENE, p,p'-DDE, METHYLMERCURY, and POLYCHLORINATED BIPHENYLS)

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services
Public Health Service
Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) wishes to thank the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for its support in the production of this Interaction Profile.

#### **PREFACE**

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) mandates that the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) shall assess whether adequate information on health effects is available for the priority hazardous substances. Where such information is not available or under development, ATSDR shall, in cooperation with the National Toxicology Program, initiate a program of research to determine these health effects. The Act further directs that where feasible, ATSDR shall develop methods to determine the health effects of substances in combination with other substances with which they are commonly found. The Food Quality Protection Act (FQPA) of 1996 requires that factors to be considered in establishing, modifying, or revoking tolerances for pesticide chemical residues shall include the available information concerning the cumulative effects of substances that have a common mechanism of toxicity, and combined exposure levels to the substance and other related substances. The FQPA requires that the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency consult with the Secretary of the Department of Health and Human Services (which includes ATSDR) in implementing some of the provisions of the act.

To carry out these legislative mandates, ATSDR's Division of Toxicology (DT) has developed and coordinated a mixtures program that includes trend analysis to identify the mixtures most often found in environmental media, *in vivo* and *in vitro* toxicological testing of mixtures, quantitative modeling of joint action, and methodological development for assessment of joint toxicity. These efforts are interrelated. For example, the trend analysis suggests mixtures of concern for which assessments need to be conducted. If data are not available, further research is recommended. The data thus generated often contribute to the design, calibration or validation of the methodology. This pragmatic approach allows identification of pertinent issues and their resolution as well as enhancement of our understanding of the mechanisms of joint toxic action. All the information obtained is thus used to enhance existing or developing methods to assess the joint toxic action of environmental chemicals. Over a number of years, ATSDR scientists in collaboration with mixtures risk assessors and laboratory scientists have developed approaches for the assessment of the joint toxic action of chemical mixtures. As part of the mixtures program a series of documents, Interaction Profiles, are being developed for certain priority mixtures that are of special concern to ATSDR.

The purpose of an Interaction Profile is to evaluate data on the toxicology of the "whole" priority mixture (if available) and on the joint toxic action of the chemicals in the mixture in order to recommend approaches for the exposure-based assessment of the potential hazard to public health. Joint toxic action includes additivity and interactions. A weight-of-evidence approach is commonly used in these documents to evaluate the influence of interactions in the overall toxicity of the mixture. The weight-of-evidence evaluations are qualitative in nature, although ATSDR recognizes that observations of toxicological interactions depend greatly on exposure doses and that some interactions appear to have thresholds. Thus, the interactions are evaluated in a qualitative manner to provide a sense of what influence the interactions may have when they do occur.

The public comment period ends on September 2, 2002. Comments should be sent to:

Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry
Division of Toxicology
1600 Clifton Road, N.E.
Mail Stop E-29
Atlanta, GA 30333

Attn: Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D.

#### v

## **CONTRIBUTORS**

### CHEMICAL MANAGER(S)/AUTHORS:

Hana Pohl, M.D., Ph.D. ATSDR, Division of Toxicology, Atlanta, GA

Peter McClure, Ph.D., DABT Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

Marc Odin, M.S., DABT Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

Joan Colman, Ph.D. Syracuse Research Corporation, Syracuse, NY

#### **PEER REVIEW**

Peer Reviewers for this document were:

Dr. Christopher Borgert Applied Pharmacology and Toxicology, Inc. 238 Turkey Creek 10514 Palmetto Boulevard Alachua, FL 32615

Dr. John Giesy Department of Zoology Michigan State University Natural Science Building East Lansing, MI 48824

Dr. Raymond Yang Center for Environmental Toxicology and Technology Colorado State University Foothills Campus Rampart Road Fort Collins, CO 80523-1680

#### **SUMMARY**

Breast-feeding offers the developing infant the benefits of balanced nutrition and passive immunization, but the detection of persistent, environmental chemicals in human breast milk samples from various regions of the world has led to concerns that these chemicals may have detrimental effects on the health and/or development of children. Chlorinated dibenzo-*p*-dioxins (CDDs), hexachlorobenzene, *p*,*p* '-DDE, methylmercury, and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) were selected as an important subset of persistent chemicals detected in breast milk for the purposes of reviewing data on their joint toxic actions following oral exposure.

Epidemiological studies in Michigan, North Carolina, New York, the Netherlands, and the Faroe Islands found statistically significant associations between increasing concentrations of particular persistent chemicals found in maternal fluid samples (i.e., PCBs, CDDs, *p,p* '-DDE, or mercury in cord serum or breast milk) and deficits in motor and cognitive functions in children. The Netherlands and Faroe Islands studies also demonstrated beneficial effects of breast feeding on neurological development. A study of formula-fed monkeys exposed to a PCB mixture from birth to 20 weeks found evidence that lactational exposure to persistent chemicals may contribute to neurodevelopmental deficits. These studies identify mild neurodevelopmental deficits as a possible health hazard, but the results are suggestive that observed deficits may have been associated with gestational rather than lactational exposure to persistent chemicals in breast milk and neurological deficits. Furthermore, they are not useful for assessment of health hazards specific to a community or scenarios involving exposures to mixtures of CDDs, hexachlorobenzene, *p,p* '-DDE, methylmercury, and PCBs.

To facilitate exposure-based assessments of possible health effects associated with oral exposures to mixtures of CDDs, hexachlorobenzene, p,p'-DDE, methylmercury, and PCBs in environmental media, food, and/or breast milk, available data on the joint toxic action of mixtures of these breast milk contaminants were reviewed, and the weights of evidence were assessed concerning the mode of joint toxic action of pairs of the five chemicals. Only a limited amount of evidence is available on the existence of greater-than-additive or less-than-additive interactions between a few pairs of the chemicals of concern: (1) hexachlorobenzene potentiation of tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) reduction of body and thymus weights (a greater-than-additive interaction); (2) PCB antagonism of TCDD immunotoxicity (less-than-additive interaction); (3) PCB antagonism of TCDD developmental toxicity (less-than-additive

interaction); and (4) synergism between PCBs and methylmercury in disrupting regulation of brain levels of dopamine that may influence neurological function and development (greater-than-additive interaction). Weight-of-evidence analyses of these data, however, indicate that scientific evidence for these interactions is limited and is inadequate to characterize the possible modes of joint action on these toxicity targets. For the remaining pairs, additive joint action at shared targets of toxicity is either supported by data (for a few pairs) or is recommended as a public health protective assumption due to lack of interaction data, conflicting interaction data, and/or lack of mechanistic understanding to reliably project potential non-additive interactions. Therefore, it is recommended that additivity be assumed as a public health protective measure in exposure-based assessments of health hazards from exposure to mixtures of these components.

A target-organ toxicity dose (TTD) modification of the hazard index approach is recommended for carrying out exposure-based assessments of possible health effects from oral exposure to mixtures of the chemicals. TTDs for the individual chemical components are derived, and application of the approach is described. There are several reasons supporting this recommendation to use a component-based approach. There are no direct data available to characterize health hazards (and dose-response relationships) from mixtures containing all five components. Physiologically-based pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic (PBPK/PD) models have not yet been developed that would predict pertinent target doses of the components under scenarios involving exposure to mixtures of all five components. Finally, available information on toxic actions of the individual components indicates that joint actions of CDDs, hexachlorobenzene, *p,p* '-DDE, methylmercury, and PCBs on several toxicity targets are plausible, including nervous system development, immune functions, reproductive organ development, and cancer.

# **CONTENTS**

PREFACE	. iii
CONTRIBUTORS	. v
PEER REVIEW	vii
SUMMARY	. ix
CONTENTS	. xi
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS	xvi
1. Introduction	. 1
2. Joint Toxic Action Data for the Mixture of Concern and Component Mixtures	
2.1 Mixture of Concern	
2.2 Component Mixtures	
2.2.1 2,3,7,8-TCDD and Hexachlorobenzene	
2.2.2 2,3,7,8-TCDD and <i>p,p</i> '-DDE	
2.2.3 Hexachlorobenzene and <i>p,p</i> '-DDE	
2.2.4 2,3,7,8-TCDD and Methylmercury	
2.2.5 Hexachlorobenzene and Methylmercury	
2.2.6 <i>p,p</i> '-DDE and Methylmercury	
2.2.7 PCBs and 2,3,7,8-TCDD	
2.2.8 PCBs and Hexachlorobenzene	
2.2.9 PCBs and <i>p,p</i> '-DDE	
2.2.10 PCBs and Methylmercury	
2.3 Relevance of the Joint Toxic Action Data and Approaches to Public Health	62
3. Recommendation for Exposure-Based Assessment of Joint Toxic Action of the Mixture	97
4. Conclusions	103
5. List of References	105
Appendix A: Background Information for Chlorinated Dibenzo-p-Dioxins (CDDs)	147
A.1 Toxicokinetics	147
A.2 Health Effects	148
A.3 Mechanisms of Action	149
	151
	153
Appendix B: Background Information for Hexachlorobenzene	157
B.1 Toxicokinetics	157
	157
B.3 Mechanisms of Action	158
B.4 Health Guidelines	160

B.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	161
Appendix C: Background Information for <i>p,p</i> '-DDE	165
C.1 Toxicokinetics	165
C.2 Health Effects	166
C.3 Mechanisms of Action	167
C.4 Health Guidelines	168
C.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	168
Appendix D: Background Information for Methylmercury	173
D.1 Toxicokinetics	
D.2 Health Effects	
D.3 Mechanisms of Action	174
D.4 Health Guidelines	
D.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	177
Appendix E: Background Information for PCBs	
E.1 Toxicokinetics	
E.2 Health Effects	182
E.3 Mechanisms of Action	185
E.4 Health Guidelines	
E.5 Derivation of Target-Organ Toxicity Dose (TTD) Values	204
Appendix F: Chemical Structures of Mixture Components	209

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1. Health Effects Observed in Humans or Animals after Oral Exposure to Chemicals of	,
Concern	
Table 2. Levels of Chemicals of Concern in Human Breast Milk Samples from General Populations 8	5
Table 3. Summary of Available Data on the Influence of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of	
Hexachlorobenzene and the Influence of Hexachlorobenzene on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of	`
2,3,7,8-TCDD by Sequential Exposure	1
Table 4. Summary of Available Data on the Influence of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of	
p,p'-DDE and the Influence of $p,p$ '-DDE on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of 2,3,7,8-TCDD by	,
Simultaneous Exposure	•
Table 5. Summary of Available Data on the Influence of Hexachlorobenzene on	
Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of Mercuric Chloride and the Influence of Mercuric Chloride on	n
Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of Hexachlorobenzene by Simultaneous Exposure	J
Table 6. Summary of Available Data on the Influence of PCBs on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of 2,3,7,8-	<b>1</b>
TCDD	1
Table 7. Summary of Available Data on the Influence of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of	7
PCBs	/
Table 8. Summary of Available Data on the Influence of PCBs on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of Methylmercury	<b>1</b>
Table 9. Summary of Available Data on the Influence of Methylmercury on Toxicity/Carcinogenicity of PCBs	
PCBs	
Table 11. Binary Weight-of-Evidence Scheme for the Assessment of Chemical Interactions	
Table 12. Effect of <b>2,3,7,8-TCDD</b> on <b>Hexachlorobenzene</b> 69	
Table 13. Effect of <b>Hexachlorobenzene</b> on <b>2,3,7,8-TCDD</b> 70	
Table 14. Effect of <b>2,3,7,8-TCDD</b> on <b>p,p'-DDE</b>	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
Table 15. Effect of <i>p,p</i> '-DDE on 2,3,7,8-TCDD 73 Table 16. Effect of <i>p,p</i> '-DDE on Hexachlorobenzene 74	
Table 17. Effect of <b>Hexachlorobenzene</b> on <b>p,p'-DDE</b>	
Table 18. Effect of <b>2,3,7,8-TCDD</b> on <b>Methylmercury</b>	
· ·	
v v	
Table 22. Effect of <i>p,p</i> '-DDE on Methylmercury	
Table 23. Effect of Methylmercury on p,p'-DDE	
Table 24. Effect of PCBs on 2,3,7,8-TCDD  Table 25. Effect of 2,3,7,8-TCDD on PCBs	
, , , ,	
Table 26. Effect of PCBs on Hexachlorobenzene	
Table 27. Effect of <b>Hexachlorobenzene</b> on <b>PCBs</b>	
Table 28. Effect of PCBs on p,p'-DDE	
Table 29. Effect of <i>p,p</i> '- <b>DDE</b> on <b>PCBs</b>	
Table 30. Effect of PCBs on Methylmercury	
Table 31. Effect of Methylmercury on PCBs	2
Table 32. Matrix of BINWOE Determinations for Repeated Simultaneous Oral Exposure to	1
Chemicals of Concern 94  Table 22 Toward Organ Towisity Decay (TTDs) for Repeated Oral Exposure to Chemicals of	+
Table 33. Target Organ Toxicity Doses (TTDs) for Repeated Oral Exposure to Chemicals of	n
Concern	J

### LIST OF ACRONYMS, ABBREVIATIONS, AND SYMBOLS

Ah arylhydrocarbon

AHH arylhydrocarbon hydroxylase

ATSDR Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry

BINWOE binary weight-of-evidence
BROD benzoxylresorufin-O-deethylase
CDD chlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxin
CDF chlorinated dibenzofuran
CI confidence interval
CYP cytochrome P450
DNA deoxyribonucleic acid

DTH delayed-type hypersensitivity EGF epidermal growth factor

EPA Environmental Protection Agency EROD ethoxyresorufin O-deethylase

HCB hexachlorobenzene

IARC International Agency Research on Cancer IRIS Integrated Risk Information System

kg kilogram

LOAEL lowest-observed-adverse-effect level LSE Levels of Significant Exposure

mg milligram

MRL Minimal Risk Level

mRNA messenger ribonucleic acid NOAEL no-observed-adverse-effect level

OR odds ratio

PBB polybrominated biphenyl

PBPK physiologically based pharamacokinetic

PCB polycholrinated biphenyl

ppb parts per billion ppm parts per million ppt parts per trillion

RfC Reference Concentration

RfD Reference Dose SD standard deviation SRBC sheep red blood cells

T4 thyroxin

TT3 total triiodothyronine

TT4 total thyroxine and free thyroxine

TAO triacetyloleandomycin

TCDD 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin

TCDF tetrachlorodibenzofuran
TCHQ tetrachlorohydroquinone
TEF Toxic Equivalency Factor

TEQ toxic equivalents

TGF transforming growth factor
TSH thyroid stimulating hormone
TTD target-organ toxicity dose
UDP uridine-5'-diphosphate

uncertainty factor
United States
weight-of-evidence
greater than
greater than or equal to
equal to UF U.S. WOE

\$ =

less than +

# less than or equal to